PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

| REC'D | Î | 3 | APR | 2006 |
|-------|---|---|-----|------|
| MUDO | | | | PCT |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 32349P WO | FOR FURTHER ACT | | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/EP2004/014684 | International filing date (d. 23.12.2004 | ay/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 23.12.2003 | | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. B01J13/00 | | | | | | |
| Applicant MEDIGENE ONCOLOGY GMBH | | | | | | |
| Authority under Article 35 and tra | nsmitted to the applicant | according to Article 30 | s International Preliminary Examining S. | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total | of 5 sheets, including thi | s cover sheet. | | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied | oy ANNEXES, comprising | y: | | | | |
| a. \(\sent to the applicant and \(\) | to the International Burea | u) a total of sheets, a | s follows: | | | |
| and/or sheets contain Administrative Instruc | □ sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the | | | | | |
| sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box. | sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the | | | | | |
| b. (sent to the International | — and number of electronic corrier(s)) containing a | | | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications | rolating to the following its | ems: | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| ☑ Box No. I Basis of the re | port | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority | | | at a second to describe a second to a letter | | | |
| | | rd to novelty, inventive | step and industrial applicability | | | |
| ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of | of invention | · ••• | . inventive atom or industrial | | | |
| applicability; c | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VI Certain docum | | | | | | |
| | s in the international appl | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser | ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | Date of completion of the | nis report | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | | | | | |
| 13.07.2005 | • | 13.04.2006 | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the internati preliminary examining authority: | | Authorized officer | gantisches Patentam, ig | | | |
| European Patent Office - P | Bas | Willsher, C | ogan Pila | | | |
| Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 | 31 651 epo ni | Telephone No. +31 70 | 340-2649 \$\frac{\frac{1}{3}\llocation_{\text{\$\sigma_{\text{\$\chi_{\text{\$\sigma_{\chi\ti}{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi\ti}{\chi_{\chi\ti}{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi\ti}\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi\ti}}\chi_{\chi_{\chi\ti}}\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi\tin\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi\tin\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi\tinpt\chi_{\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi\ti}}\chi_{\chi_{\chi\chi_{\chi\ti}\chi_{\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi\chi_{\chi\tinpty\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi\ti}\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi_{\chi\ti}\chi_{\chi}\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi_{\chi}\chi}\chi\chi}\chi\chi\chi\chi}\chi\chi\chi\chi}\chi\chi\chi\ti\ti}\chi\chi\chi\chi}\chi\chi\chi\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti}\chi\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti\ti | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014684

| | | | Desir of the senset | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | No. I | Basis of the report | | |
| ١. | With | | i to the language, this report is based on | | |
| | \boxtimes | the inte | ernational application in the language in which it was filed | | |
| | | □ a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a)) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a)) | | | |
| 2. | With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): | | | | |
| | Des | cription | n, Pages | | |
| | 1-44 | 4 | as originally filed | | |
| | Cla | ims, Nu | mbers | | |
| | 1-1 | 7 | as originally filed | | |
| | Drawings, Sheets | | | | |
| | 1/4- | -4/4 | as originally filed | | |
| | | a seq | uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | | |
| 3 | . 🗆 | ☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ | mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): by table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | |
| 4 | . □ ha Su | d not be upplemed the lipplemed the lippleme | report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below seen made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | | |
| | * | Tf i | tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014684

| | Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|----------|---------------|--|--|
| 1. | | In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: | | | | | |
| | | ☐ restricted the claims. | | | | | |
| | | □ paid | additional fees. | | | | |
| | | □ paid | additional fees under p | rotest | and, where a | applicable, the protest fee. | |
| | | ☐ paid | additional fees under p | rotest | but the appli | cable protest fee was not paid. | |
| | | □ neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees. | | | | | |
| 2. | Ø | This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees. | | | | | |
| 3. | This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is: | | | | | | |
| | | complie | ed with. | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | not con | nplied with for the follow | ving rea | asons: | | |
| | | see separate sheet | | | | | |
| 4. | Co | Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | all parts | all parts. | | | | |
| | | the parts relating to claims Nos | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Вс | ox No. V | Reasoned statementy; citations and expla | nt und | er Article 35 | (2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial | |
| _ | ap | plicabili | ty, Citations and expit | ariatio. | io capporan | | |
| 1 | . St | atement | | | | | |
| | No | ovelty (N) | | Yes: | Claims | 16 | |
| | | | | No: | Claims | 1-15,17 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | In | ventive s | tep (IS) | | Claims | | |
| | | | | No: | Claims | 16 | |
| | ln | dustrial a | pplicability (IA) | Yes: | Claims | 1-17 | |
| | | | | No: | Claims | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2. C | itations a | nd explanations (Rule | 70.7): | | | |

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/014684

- 1. US-A-5 556 580 (Document D1) discloses a method in which liposomes are extruded through a frit (1) by means of a piston pump (16) and arrive in collection vessel (22) see Fig. 2; column 5, lines 27-44; Examples 1-4. The liposome material contains water (column 7, lines 31 and 56), which means that an aqueous medium will exist in collection vessel 22 to disperse the extruded composition emerging from frit (1). Pressures exceeding 1000 psi (=68 bar) are "most preferred" (column 6, lines 3-4), which must result in homogeneity on a molecular level of the extruded material. Indeed, this pressure falls within the range recited in present claim 10. The subject-matter of present claims 1-15 and 17 is thereby known. In this respect, it is pointed out that the parameters of the product (cf. present claims 3 and 6) are regarded as implicity disclosed in D1 and cannot establish novelty, and that the step of supply the intermediate product to a hydration process (claim 14) is not part of the nanoparticle production process, and is therefore ignored for the purpose of examination for novelty.
- 2. By similar reasoning, the subject-matter of present claims 1-15 and 17 is considered to be anticipated by Examples 1-18 (in particular Example 11) and claims 1-10 of EP-A-0 460 720 (Document D2).
- 3. Claims 1-15, 17 do not fulfill the requirement of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 4. The dimensions of the compounder given in present claim 17 are not recited in D1 or D2. However, no inventive step can be recognised, since these dimensions do not appear to give rise to any surprising effect, and thus do not contribute to solving the technical problem.
- 5. Present claim 17 does not fulfill the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 6. Further to paragraph 4, above, it is noted that independent claim 1 does not give the dimensions of the compounder, as recited in independent claim 16. This is taken as further evidence that the said dimensions play no part in solving the technical problem, since their absence in claim 1 implies they are unimportant. Furthermore, the subject-matter common to claims 1 and 16 is simply a compounder, which is known from both D1 and D2. Claim 16 therefore forms an invention having no unitary link with claims 1-15 and 17. The unity requirement of Rule 13.1 PCT is not met.